# **TEWKESBURY BOROUGH COUNCIL**

Report to:	Planning Committee			
Date of Meeting:	Tuesday 14 February 2017			
Subject:	Current Appeals and Appeal Decisions Update			
Report of:	Paul Skelton, Development Manager			
Corporate Lead:	Robert Weaver, Deputy Chief Executive			
Lead Member:	Cllr D M M Davies			
Number of Appendices:	1			

### **Executive Summary:**

To inform Members of current Planning and Enforcement Appeals and of Communities and Local Government (CLG) Appeal Decisions issued.

### Recommendation:

### To CONSIDER the report

### **Reasons for Recommendation:**

To inform Members of recent appeal decisions

Resource Implications: None
Legal Implications: None
Risk Management Implications: None
Performance Management Follow-up: None
Environmental Implications: None

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND

**1.1** At each Planning Committee meeting, Members are informed of current Planning and Enforcement Appeals and of Communities and Local Government (CLG) Appeal

Decisions that have recently been issued.

## 2.0 APPEAL DECISIONS

**2.1** The following decisions have been issued by the First Secretary of State of CLG:

Application No	15/00410/FUL				
Location	Highnam Farm Two Mile Lane Highnam Glos GL2 8DW				
Appellant	Highnam Farm Solar Ltd				
Development	Construction of a ground mounted solar PV generation project and associated works				
Officer recommendation					
Decision Type	Committee				
DCLG Decision	Allowed				
Reason	Construction of a ground mounted solar PV generation project and associated works Delegated Permit Committee				
Date	05.01.2017				

Application No	16/00477/FUL			
Location	Harvest Home Evesham Road Greet Cheltenham GL54			
	5BH			
Appellant	Mr M Doughty			

Development	Demolition of existing pub and erection of 3 new 5 bedroom dwellings			
Officer recommendation	Refused			
Decision Type	Delegated			
DCLG Decision	Allowed			
Reason	The Inspector concluded that the proposed development would not harm the character and appearance of the area. He identified that the development would result in the reuse of previously development land and the development would contribute, albeit in a small way, towards meeting the housing shortfall within the Borough. As he did not identify any adverse impacts of granting permission, the clear benefits of the development would not be outweighed by any harms in the planning balance. The appeal was therefore allowed.			
Date	20.01.2017			

### 3.0 ENFORCEMENT APPEAL DECISIONS

3.1 None

### 4.0 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

- 4.1 None
- 5.0 CONSULTATION
- 5.1 None
- 6.0 RELEVANT COUNCIL POLICIES/STRATEGIES
- 6.1 None
- 7.0 RELEVANT GOVERNMENT POLICIES
- 7.1 None
- 8.0 **RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS (Human/Property)**
- 8.1 None
- 9.0 SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS (Social/Community Safety/Cultural/ Economic/ Environment)
- 9.1 None
- 10.0 IMPACT UPON (Value For Money/Equalities/E-Government/Human Rights/Health And Safety)
- 10.1 None

#### 11.0 RELATED DECISIONS AND ANY OTHER RELEVANT FACTS

11.1 None

Background Papers: None

Contact Officer:	Jane Bagley, Appe	als Administrator
	01684 272286	Jane.Bagley@Tewkesbury.gov.uk

Appendices: Appendix 1: List of Appeals received

Appendix 1

List of Appeals Received

Reference	Address	Description	Date Appeal Lodged	Appeal Procedure	Appeal Officer	Statement Due
16/00757/FUL	The Old Mill House Oxenton Cheltenham Gloucestershire GL52 9SE	Demolition of existing outbuilding and replacement with new, detached ancillary building.	05/01/2017	W	ЦD	09/02/2017

### Process Type

- Indicates Householder Appeal Indicates Written Reps Indicates Informal Hearing Indicates Public Inquiry • "HH"
- "W"
- "H"
- " 」"